| Effects of Jan 1, 2012 State Minimum Wage Increases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount of Increase | New Minimum Wage | Number of Workers Directly Affected ${ }^{1}$$84,000$ | Number of Workers Indirectly Affected ${ }^{2}$ 49,000 | Total <br> Number of Affected <br> Workers $133,000$ | Increased Wages for Directly \& Indirectly Affected Workers |  | Average Individual Increase in Annual Income |  | GDP Impact ${ }^{3}$ | Jobs Impact -Full-time employment ${ }^{4}$ | Jobs <br> Impact - <br> Payroll <br> Jobs |
| Arizona | \$ 0.30 | \$ 7.65 |  |  |  | \$ | 39,869,000 | \$ | 298 | \$ 25,237,373 | 219 | 199 |
| Colorado | \$ 0.28 | \$ 7.64 | 74,000 | 17,000 | 91,000 | \$ | 42,222,000 | \$ | 465 | \$ 26,726,313 | 232 | 210 |
| Florida | \$ 0.36 | \$ 7.67 | 226,000 | 155,000 | 381,000 | \$ | 145,443,000 | \$ | 382 | \$ 92,065,511 | 801 | 725 |
| Montana | \$ 0.30 | \$ 7.65 | 15,000 | 6,000 | 21,000 | \$ | 8,116,000 | \$ | 379 | \$ 5,137,736 | 45 | 40 |
| Ohio | \$ 0.30 | \$ 7.70 | 291,000 | 56,000 | 347,000 | \$ | 120,808,000 | \$ | 348 | \$ 76,471,730 | 665 | 602 |
| Oregon | \$ 0.30 | \$ 8.80 | 143,000 | 19,000 | 162,000 | \$ | 87,237,000 | \$ | 538 | \$ 55,220,775 | 480 | 435 |
| Vermont | \$ 0.31 | \$ 8.46 | 18,000 | 5,000 | 23,000 | \$ | 11,375,000 | \$ | 497 | \$ 7,200,154 | 63 | 57 |
| Washington | \$ 0.37 | \$ 9.04 | 194,000 | 87,000 | 281,000 | \$ | 123,820,000 | \$ | 441 | \$ 78,378,197 | 682 | 617 |
|  |  | Total: | 1,045,000 | 394,000 | 1,439,000 | \$ | 578,890,000 |  |  | \$ 366,437,788 | 3,187 | 2,885 |

Source: EPI Analysis of Current Population Survey, 2010 Annual Social and Economic Study
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ Directly affected workers will see their wages rise as the new minimum wage rate will exceed their current hourly pay.
${ }^{2}$ Indirectly affected workers currently have a wage rate just above the new minimum wage (between the new minimum wage and the new minimum wage plus the dollar amount of the increase in the 2012 minimum wage). They will receive a raise as employer pay scales are adjusted upward to reflect the new minimum wage.
${ }^{3}$ GDP and job stimulus figures utilize a national model to estimate the GDP impact of workers' increased earnings. Thus the total state stimulus may be lower than this amount because workers in each state will not necessarily spend all of their increased earnings in-state. However, we can assume that most of the increased earnings will be spent in-state, and thus most of the jobs created will be in-state. Jobs numbers assume full-time employment requires $\$ 115,000$ in additional GDP and payroll employment requires an additional $\$ 127,000$ in GDP.
${ }^{4}$ The increased economic activity from these additional wages adds not just jobs but also hours for people who already have jobs (work hours for people with jobs also dropped in the downturn). Full-time employment takes that into account, by essentially taking the number of total hours added (including both hours from new jobs and more hours for people who already have jobs) and dividing by 40, to get full-time-equivalent jobs added. Simply counting new payroll jobs misses all the added hours for people with jobs.

Job impact estimation methods can be found in: Bivens, Josh L. 2011. Method memo on estimating the jobs impact of various policy changes. Washington, D.C.: Economic Policy Institute. http://www.epi.org/publication/methodology-estimating-jobs-impact/

