IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

THERESA RIFFEY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. No.: 10-cv-02477

GOVERNOR BRUCE RAUNER, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Illinois; and SEIU HEALTHCARE ILLINOIS & INDIANA.

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF LAURA ROBERTS

Judge: Honorable Manish S. Shah

Laura Roberts hereby declares as follows:

- 1. I am a home care worker in the State of Illinois' Home Services Program. I am 46 years old and live in Chicago, Illinois.
- 2. I have been working in the Home Services Program since about 2009. I have provided care for the same client since I began working in the Home Services Program. The types of care I provide range from assisting with bathing, grocery shopping, cleaning, cooking, accompanying to medical appointments, grooming, occasionally with dressing and toileting, running errands, and medicine reminders.
- 3. When I began working in the Home Services Program, the State automatically deducted fair-share fees from my wages to support my union representative, SEIU Healthcare Illinois & Indiana ("Union"). I had no objection to providing financial support for the Union. I believed those fees were dues, and that I was a member of the Union. I believe that a strong union representative is necessary if home care workers are to be treated with the dignity and

respect that we deserve. I believe that all home care workers should pay their fair share to support the Union because all home care workers benefit from the Union's activities. I believe that home care workers need the Union, and that the Union helps advocate for home care workers and other low-wage workers in the State of Illinois.

- 4. Our current Union contract provides for pay of \$13/hour for home care workers, a significant increase from when I first started, and for the State to pay into a health benefits fund and for training. I do not believe that home care workers would have achieved this without a strong Union or that we will be able to continue to improve the status of home care workers without a strong Union.
- 5. I have attended a few of the training classes and I believe they are helpful. I believe the classes have made me a better home care worker. I believe the classes are helpful because it give me the chance to learn from more experienced home care workers as well as the instructors.
- 6. The union has given me the opportunity to be a part of a state-wide home care worker family, and to travel to Springfield, IL to rally for home care workers. I believe that I would not be as informed about policies that affect my client and me or as involved in the politics of the State, without the Union.
- 7. When I first started working in the Home Services Program I was not familiar with everything our Union does and with the importance of becoming a Union member. In about July of 2014, a member volunteer came to my house and told me more about the Union, and I wanted to help out and be a part of it. I decided to join the Union as a member on the spot and have remained a Union member.

8. I do not support the Harris v. Quinn lawsuit or the goal of the National Right to Work Legal Defense Fund to weaken our Union. I do not believe the named plaintiffs represent me. I believe the named plaintiffs are trying to have it both ways: reaping the benefits from the Union contract while not contributing to the Union's efforts. I'd rather have a Union that is strong, and can continue the fight for \$15 an hour.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Lauralohert

Executed this 25 day of 500 2015.

Laura Roberts